

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,625	10/17/2003	Chung-Hsiang Lin	3827.04 (ALJ)	2593
7	590 06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Allston L. Jor	nes		SEMBER, THOMAS M	
Peters, Verny, Jones & Schmitt, LLP 425 Sherman Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 230			2875	
Palo Alto, CA	94306		DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/688,625	LIN, CHUNG-HSIANG	M
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\equiv
	Thomas M. Sember	2875	.`•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS b. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			:
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2003.		
/	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under to			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		٠
	·		
Application Papers	or		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a constant.		the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.	;;
		4.5	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date Irmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/688,625

Art Unit: 2875

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to light emitting device with wavelength-converting member and reflector, classified in class 362, subclass 293.
- II. Claims 22-24, drawn to a reflector, classified in class 362, subclass 296.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 1. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability since the combination itself and not the specific details of the reflector is being examined for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in LCD displays.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of figures 2-4 and 10, the species of figure 5, the species of figures 6-7, the species of figure 8 and the species of figure 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 and claim 22 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,625

Art Unit: 2875

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

1. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 2875

272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875